



Do drivers of renewable energy consumption matter for BRICS economies? Nexus among technological innovation, environmental degradation, economic growth, and income inequality

Iftikhar Muhammad¹ · Rasim Ozcan¹ · Vipin Jain² · Carlos Samuel Ramos-Meza³ · Chanchal Chawla²

Received: 5 September 2022 / Accepted: 5 December 2022

© The Author(s), under exclusive licence to Springer-Verlag GmbH Germany, part of Springer Nature 2022

Abstract

In light of increasing concerns about climate change and energy security, renewable energy has been seen as the most promising solution to fulfil future energy needs. This study examines the drivers of renewable energy consumption (REC) and the nexus between GDP growth, technological innovation, gross fixed capital formation, CO₂ emissions, income inequality, and renewable energy consumption (REC) using annual data from BRICS countries. To this end, the study uses the augmented mean group (AMG) estimator, a second-generation estimator that takes slope homogeneity and cross-sectional dependence into consideration. For robustness, the pooled mean group (PMG) estimator has also been utilized. The findings of both estimators indicate that carbon emissions, technological innovation, and gross fixed capital formation exert adverse and significant impacts on REC. The findings also show that the use of renewable energy will rise as income inequality declines. We also employ the Dumitrescu and Hurlin (DH) granger causality test. The results of the analysis demonstrate a one-way causal association between income inequality and REC. This finding confirms that a reduction in income inequality will have a major impact on the adoption of renewable energy sources.

Keywords Income inequality · Renewable energy · Technological innovation · AMG technique · BRICS economies

JEL Classification O3 · O15 · Q2 · Q4 · Q5

Responsible Editor: Ilhan Ozturk

✉ Iftikhar Muhammad
iftikharmuhammad@ibnhaldun.edu.tr

Rasim Ozcan
rasim.ozcan@ihu.edu.tr

Vipin Jain
vipin555@rediffmail.com

Carlos Samuel Ramos-Meza
Carlos.Ramosm@pucep.pe

Chanchal Chawla
cmca@tmu.ac.in

¹ School of Humanities and Social Sciences, Ibn Haldun University, Istanbul, Turkey

² Department of Management Sciences, Teerthanker Mahaveer University, Moradabad, India

³ Instituto Científico (IC-UAC), Universidad Andina del Cusco, Cusco, Peru

Introduction

The sustainable development aims to conserve the environment for present-day needs as well as those of future generations (Wang et al. 2022a, b; Usman and Radulescu 2022). To solve environmental challenges, such as global warming, it is essential to adhere to the 17 Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs) (IPCC 2014). Various recommended approaches include developing new, efficient, and environmentally friendly technology, increasing the effectiveness of existing technologies, and/or making a partial or complete switch to renewable energy sources (RES). The latter, i.e., dependence on RES, is the approach that offers the best chance of rapidly replacing non-renewables (Olabi et al. 2021; Shabbir 2022; Mahalik et al. 2021; Sayed et al. 2021).

Renewable energy must be incorporated into economical and technically practical GHGs reduction strategies (Gyamfi et al. 2018; Wang et al. 2022a, b). By lowering reliance on fossil fuels, renewable energy can help in reducing emissions and ensure energy security (Saboori et al. 2022). RES can

enhance air quality and human health, reduce energy costs, lessen negative effects on the environment, and create jobs (REN21 2020). Furthermore, energy import prices can be decreased by utilizing indigenous RES including wind, biomass, solar, hydropower, and geothermal (Duan et al. 2022).

Recent studies have explored the variables that affect the uptake of renewable energy in light of its importance (Zhao et al. 2022; Chen et al. 2021). Some of these researches looked at how REC and technical innovation are related (Khan et al. 2022; Alam and Murad 2020). The rapid deployment of RES to satisfy energy needs and alter the pattern of energy use could result from technological innovation. Due to profit-seeking conduct, it is difficult for less fortunate populations to benefit from the usage of renewables (Castrejon-Campos et al. 2022).

The BRICS economies—Brazil, Russia, India, China, and South Africa—are the subject of a case study. The BRICS economies have significantly influenced global energy consumption and production because of their rapid economic expansion and large population levels. Due to their size and rapid economic expansion, the BRICS economies have a huge impact on the advancement of the global economy. Furthermore, renewable energy sources are used to produce clean energy, which can lessen carbon emissions. Hence, a significant factor of renewable energy could be CO₂ emissions (Samour et al. 2022; Dogan and Muhammad 2019; Shabbir et al. 2020; Omri and Nguyen 2014).

Due to their rapid economic growth and high energy consumption, the BRICS nations now place a high priority on energy security. In this case, using renewable energy might be a good way to achieve sustainable energy usage. Using the bootstrap panel cointegration technique (2007), AMG estimator, PMG estimator, and Dumitrescu and Hurlin (DH) causality test, this research attempts to analyze the factors influencing REC in BRICS economies over the period 1992–2019. This research contributes into the present body of knowledge in the following ways: (I) various empirical studies have analyzed the link between REC and CO₂ emissions, utilizing a variety of modeling approaches, methodologies, and results. However, few of them have analyzed the connection between technological innovation, GDP growth, income inequality and REC, particularly in BRICS economies. (II) for the policy/decision makers, an understanding of the connections between economic growth, technological innovation, capital formation, environmental degradation, and income inequality with REC is crucial in order to help them design strategies for the efficient use of RES. (III) on the empirical side, it is acknowledged that slope homogeneity (SH) and cross-sectional dependence (CD) are renowned issues in panel econometrics. The remaining sections of the study include a review of the literature, data and methodology, findings, and a conclusion with policy implications.

Literature review

In the literature for studies on renewable energy, there has been a clear progression in recent years. This is due to the fact that using RES is acknowledged as one of the key ways to lower the quantity of CO₂ emissions in the energy system and combat climate change (Zhao et al. 2022; Muhammad et al. 2022). Several studies have been conducted on the variables affecting the utilization of renewable/green energy. For instance, over the past decade, several researchers have researched the association between REC and GDP growth. Some of them have discovered a positive association (Namahoro et al. 2021; Ullah et al. 2021; Ahmad et al. 2022; Ehigiamusoe and Dogan 2022; Wang et al. 2022a, b; Shabbir and Zeb 2020; Liu et al. 2022a, b, c, d; Aslan et al. 2022; Chen et al. 2022a, b), while others have discovered a negative association (Ocal and Aslan 2013; Bhattacharya et al. 2016). The negative association between GDP growth and REC points to the likelihood that the economy is being overburdened by the high costs of renewable energy deployment, which in turn causes economic activity to slow down as a result of rising expenses. For example, Bhattacharya et al. (2016) found that the increased usage of green energy resulted in a slowdown in economic growth in Israel, the USA, India, and Ukraine, indicating that these nations may continue to use fossil fuels for future growth.

Therefore, CO₂ emissions were included as one of the explanatory variables in earlier research that looked at the variables affecting REC. For instance, Sadorsky (2009) revealed that REC in G7 nations is significantly influenced by increases in CO₂ emissions. A study by Salim and Rafiq (2012) demonstrated that REC in India, China, Brazil, and Indonesia was significantly influenced by carbon emissions. Regardless of how they classified their sample, Omri and Nguyen (2014) discovered that rising CO₂ emissions were the primary factor driving the REC. However, when Apergis and Payne (2014) looked at the link for the Central American countries, they also discovered a strong link between REC and carbon emissions. Mac Domhnaill and Ryan (2020) showed that over the period from 2000 to 2015, greater levels of carbon emissions encouraged the adoption of renewable energy in the production of electricity in EU. Moreover, Nguyen and Kakinaka (2019) discovered that the REC and CO₂ emissions had different relationships.

According to Jamil et al. (2022), renewable energy considerably lowers CO₂ emissions and accelerates GDP growth. According to Khezri et al. (2022), who give evidence from diverse economies that REC reduces the risk of environmental deterioration. According to Jebli and Youssef (2017), using RES can assist increase agricultural production and fight global warming. In a same vein, Wang et al. (2021) show that REC is adversely connected with carbon

emissions and recommend creating strategies for easy access to renewable energy to combat climate change. The same conclusion, which shows that renewable energy is favorable to CO2 mitigation, is reported by Sinha and Shahbaz (2018), Liu et al. 2022a, b, c, d; Saleem et al. 2019; Shabbir 2020; Wen et al. 2022; Yikun et al. 2021; Yu et al. 2020; Mughal et al 2022; Muhammad et al. 2021; Balsalobre-Lorente and Leitão (2020), and Bilgili et al. (2021). However, economic growth may increase environmental degradation. Moreover, Belaïd and Zrelli (2019) discovered short-term two-way causal association between carbon emissions and REC using a panel of nine Mediterranean nations.

Furthermore, current research has examined the linkage between income disparity and REC (McGee and Greiner, 2019; Uzar 2020; Muhammad et al. 2022; Shahbaz et al. 2022; Sharma and Rajpurohit 2022). Using parametric approach, Uzar (2020) analyzes the influence of income disparity on REC from 2000 to 2015. In 175 countries between 1990 and 2014, McGee and Greiner (2019) investigate how economic disparity modifies the relationship between REC and carbon emissions. In order to effectively replace fossil fuels, they argue that measures intended to increase the REC should be geared toward lowering income inequality. Besides, Bai et al. (2020) are concerned about the possibility that income disparity may reduce the impact of green energy technological innovation on the deterioration of the environment in terms of carbon emissions. For instance, inequality has been linked to many variables, including GDP growth, health and wellbeing, and the environment (Sager 2019; Gugushvili et al. 2020; Shabbir et al. 2020; Yaqoob et al. 2022a, b; Hayat et al. 2022a, b; Saleem et al. 2022; Sadiq et al. 2022; Nawaz et al. 2021b; Ramos et al. 2021; Liu et al. 2022a, b, c, d; Asongu and Odhiambo 2021). The societal benefits and favorable externalities from tackling economic disparity can assist expedite the deployment of green energy. Therefore, in order to steer policy, it is crucial to demonstrate empirically the link between green energy and inequality.

Data and methodology

Using annual data from 1992 to 2019, the paper examines the impact of CO2 emissions, capital formation, technological innovation, GDP growth and income disparity on REC in BRICS economies. The study takes into account six variables: economic growth or per capita GDP (constant 2020 US\$), REC (share of overall energy consumption), gross fixed capital formation (% of GDP), CO2 emissions per capita (metric tons), technological innovation (patent applications, residents), and income inequality (Gini index). The

data on income inequality is sourced from Solt (2021), while the data on the other variables is gathered from World Development Indicators (WDI, 2021). All of the chosen variables are transformed using a natural logarithm, allowing elasticity to be utilized to explain the derived slope coefficients.

Consistent with the literature (Alam and Murad 2020; Uzar 2020), REC is defined as a function of GDP growth, CO2 emissions, technological innovation, income inequality, and gross fixed capital formation and can be expressed empirically in the following way:

$$\ln REC_{it} = \beta_0 + \beta_1 \ln GDP_{it} + \beta_2 \ln CO2_{it} + \beta_3 \ln INN_{it} + \beta_4 \ln GN_{it} + \beta_5 \ln GFCF_{it} + \varepsilon_{it} \tag{1}$$

where REC stands for consumption of renewable energy. GDP, CO2, INN, GN, and GFCF stand for economic growth, carbon emissions, technological innovation, income inequality, and gross fixed capital formation, respectively. Finally, “t,” “i,” and “ε” stand for time dimension, cross-sections of countries, and the error term, respectively.

The study adopts the AMG estimator presented by Bond and Eberhardt (2013) to examine the long-term relationship between the variables. In the presence of slope heterogeneity (SH), and cross-sectional dependence (CSD), the AMG estimator has the potential to generate effective estimates (Sadorsky 2012).

$$Step - 1 : \Delta Y_{it} = a_i + \beta_i \Delta X_{it} + c_i f_t + \sum_{t=2}^T d_i \Delta D_t + e_{it} \tag{2}$$

$$Step - 2 : \hat{\beta}_{AMG} = N^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^N \hat{\beta}_i \tag{3}$$

In the above equations, ΔY_{it} represents the dependent variable; ΔX_{it} denotes the explanatory variable; f_t represents the heterogeneous element; β_i represents country-specific estimation coefficients; $\hat{\beta}_{AMG}$ represents the mean group estimator; the coefficient of time dummies is indicated by d_i and the intercept and error component are denoted by a_i and e_{it} , respectively.

The panel AMG estimate approach offers a long-run parameter but is unable to indicate whether factors are causally related, even though causality is crucial for formulating policy recommendations. Therefore, our study adopts the Dumitrescu and Hurlin (DH) (2012) test to explore the causal association between the selected variables as well as their directions. In balanced and heterogeneous panel models, the test can also be used when the time period (T) exceeds the cross-sections (N), i.e., $T > N$.

$$WD_{N,T}^{HNC} = N^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^N WD_{i,t} \tag{4}$$

whereas $WD_{i,t}$ represents the Wald statistics and averaging each Wald statistic for cross-sections yields the $WD_{N,T}^{HNC}$ statistic.

Table 1 Descriptive statistics

Countries	Statistics	lnREC	lnGDP	lnCO2	lnINN	lnGN	lnGFCF
Brazil	Mean	3.8118	9.1716	0.6456063	8.1619	3.9254	2.9369
	Std. dev	0.0499	0.1383	0.1600	0.2677	0.0510	0.0789
	Minimum	3.7252	8.9608	0.3582	7.6497	3.8330	2.8097
	Maximum	3.8941	9.3921	0.9675	8.5090	3.9908	3.1269
	Observations	24	24	24	24	24	24
Russia	Mean	1.2754	9.0177	2.4383	10.0954	3.5721	2.9817
	Std. dev	0.0634	0.2726	0.0783	0.2167	0.0504	0.1202
	Minimum	1.1718	8.6135	2.3152	9.6228	3.3911	2.6663
	Maximum	1.3958	9.3700	2.6386	10.5839	3.6163	3.1753
	Observations	24	24	24	24	24	24
India	Mean	3.8527	6.8931	0.1017	8.2544	3.8267	3.3719
	Std. dev	0.1510	0.3311	0.2597	0.7732	0.0675	0.1358
	Minimum	3.5841	6.3886	-0.2629	7.0975	3.7184	3.1510
	Maximum	4.0471	7.4683	0.5790	9.4398	3.9060	3.5783
	Observations	24	24	24	24	24	24
South Africa	Mean	2.8471	8.7779	2.1696	6.5709	4.1310	2.8894
	Std. dev	0.0586	0.1224	0.0670	0.5032	0.0163	0.1193
	Minimum	2.7454	8.6157	2.0448	4.9273	4.1043	2.7180
	Maximum	2.9508	8.9336	2.3005	6.9108	4.1510	3.1575
	Observations	24	24	24	24	24	24
China	Mean	3.0172	7.8144	1.4004	11.1418	3.6797	3.6191
	Std. dev	0.4018	0.6188	0.4362	1.5901	0.0798	0.1303
	Minimum	2.4592	6.7878	0.8356	9.2114	3.5175	3.4127
	Maximum	3.4944	8.7796	2.0225	13.7833	3.7612	3.7959
	Observations	24	24	24	24	24	24
Panel	Mean	2.9608	8.3349	1.3511	8.8449	3.8269	3.1598
	Std. dev	0.9593	0.9299	0.9198	1.8063	0.2035	0.3104
	Minimum	1.1718	6.3886	-0.2629	4.9273	3.3911	2.6663
	Maximum	4.0471	9.3921	2.6386	13.78325	4.1510	3.7959
	Observations	120	120	120	120	120	120

Empirical results and discussion

An overview of descriptive statistics is provided in Table 1. According to the results, the greatest and smallest lnREC average values are 3.8527 and 1.2754 for India and Russia, respectively. India has the lowest average GDP value (6.8931), while Brazil has the highest average GDP value (9.1716). In terms of carbon emissions, Russia has the greatest mean lnCO2 value (2.4383), whereas India has the lowest (0.1017). Among BRICS countries,

the highest and lowest lnINN mean values are 11.1418 and 6.5709 for China and South Africa, respectively. Moreover, according to the mean lnGN values, the highest income disparity is seen in South Africa, whereas Russia has the lowest.

Further, the findings of correlation analysis, presented in Table 2, reveal that there is a significant correlation among selected variables, indicating that economic growth, CO2 emissions, income inequality, technological innovation, and gross fixed capital formation are all significant drivers of REC.

Table 2 Matrix of correlation coefficient (after logarithm)

Variables	lnREC	lnGDP	lnCO2	lnINN	lnGN	lnGFCF
lnREC	1.0000					
lnGDP	-0.4743	1.0000				
lnCO2	-0.8798	0.6269	1.0000			
lnINN	-0.4122	0.0228	0.2087	1.0000		
lnGN	0.4496	0.1847	-0.0788	-0.7053	1.0000	
lnGFCF	0.1966	-0.5856	-0.2907	0.6312	-0.3633	1.0000

Table 3 Findings of cross-sectional dependence (CSD) tests

Variable	Breusch-Pagan LM	Pesaran scaled LM
lnREC	73.8690***	14.2816***
lnGDP	217.1780***	46.3264***
lnCO2	79.5485***	15.5515***
lnINN	88.4409***	17.5399***
lnGN	129.3572***	26.6891***
lnGFCF	56.2924***	10.3513***
CSD for Model	107.0501***	21.7011***

*** denotes 1% level of significance

Table 4 Findings of slope homogeneity test

	Statistic	P-value
$\tilde{\Delta}$	1690.5247***	0.0000
$\tilde{\Delta}_{adj}$	2050.0623***	0.0000

*** denotes 1% level of significance

We use the Pesaran scaled and Breusch-Pagan LM tests to examine whether the variables have CSD as mentioned on Table 3. At the 1% level of significance, the CSD test statistics indicate that the chosen variables are cross-sectionally dependent, rejecting the null hypothesis of cross-sectional independence. Furthermore, Table 4 demonstrates that the null hypothesis of

the SH test is rejected, demonstrating the heterogeneity of the slope parameters.

To test for data stationarity, we employed the first- and second-generation unit root tests, including IPS, ADF, LLC, and CIPS, and the findings are shown in Tables 5 and 6 respectively. Although the findings of all unit root tests are similar (mixed order of integration), the findings of the CIPS test are more significant since the CIPS test not only addresses the CSD problem but is also robust to the heterogeneity problem.

After examining the stochastic nature of the variables, several panel cointegration tests, including Pedroni, Fisher, Kao, and Westerlund (2007), are employed. The rejection of the null hypothesis in each cointegration test provided in Table 6 indicates that there is evidence of a long-term association between the variables. The long-run associations between the variables are evident in all cointegration tests, but the Westerlund (2007) test is more noteworthy because it incorporates the CSD issue using the bootstrap approach.

The findings of the AMG and PMG techniques are shown in Table 7. The direction of the predicted coefficient remains the same when using different estimators, but the size and levels of significance have changed. Destek and Aslan (2020), Abbasi et al. (2021), Nama-horo et al. (2021), Anser et al. 2021; Nawab et al. 2022; Arslan et al. 2021; Bai et al. 2022; Cao et al. 2022; Dai

Table 5 Findings of the 1st generation unit root tests

Variables	Level		1 st difference		Integration order
	Intercept	Intercept & trend	Intercept	Intercept & trend	
Im, Pesaran and Shin					
lnREC	0.5845	-0.5594	-6.0556***	-4.6138***	I(1)
lnGDP	3.3585	-0.7211	-3.7947***	-2.1381***	I(1)
lnCO2	0.8271	-0.6278	-5.9592***	-4.6884***	I(1)
lnINN	1.2578	-1.7680**	-4.9303***	-4.2721***	I(0)
lnGN	-2.0599**	-1.2366	-2.4278***	-1.4292*	I(0)
lnGFCF	-0.4351	-0.1631	-7.3791***	-6.3573***	I(1)
ADF – Fisher					
lnREC	11.4198	13.4609	52.2532***	38.5385***	I(1)
lnGDP	1.47236	14.6388	32.3198***	20.3830**	I(1)
lnCO2	10.5745	13.3170	50.7522***	37.7255***	I(1)
lnINN	9.85442	18.6642**	44.4655***	35.2486***	I(0)
lnGN	24.3277***	26.1349***	25.8978***	18.2252**	I(0)
lnGFCF	9.06748	12.3440	63.8306***	50.7727***	I(1)
Levin, Lin and Chu					
lnREC	0.7362	-0.4101	-5.3122***	-4.0985***	I(1)
lnGDP	0.4246	-0.8506	-3.6202***	-3.5199***	I(1)
lnCO2	0.4349	-1.5510*	-5.9983***	-4.8444***	I(0)
lnINN	1.2999	-1.7566**	-5.1640***	-4.0316***	I(0)
lnGN	-2.6644***	-2.5758***	-3.3353***	-2.3417***	I(0)
lnGFCF	-0.9125	0.0921	-7.5619***	-6.5681***	I(1)

The significant level of 1%, 5%, or 10% is indicated by the symbols ***, **, and *, respectively

Table 6 Findings of the 2nd generation unit root test—CIPS

Variables	Level		1 st difference		Integration order
	Intercept	Intercept & trend	Intercept	Intercept & trend	
lnREC	-2.4690**	-2.1081	-1.8496	-1.8178	I(0)
lnGDP	-1.9059	-2.7819*	-2.4676**	-2.0666	I(0)
lnCO2	-2.0227	-3.2672***	-2.8960***	-2.9489**	I(0)
lnINN	-3.5374***	-1.5859	-2.3264*	-2.2531	I(0)
lnGN	-1.6055	-2.3463	-2.4321**	-2.5578	I(1)
lnGFCF	-1.8639	-2.1865	-2.4406**	-2.4109	I(1)

The significant level of 1%, 5%, or 10% is indicated by the symbols ***, **, and *, respectively. The critical values for CIPS test were tabulated by Pesaran (2007)

et al. 2022; Ge et al. 2022; Ji et al. 2022; Jun et al 2021; Khan et al. 2021a, b; Khuong et al. 2021; Li et al. 2021; Liu et al. 2022a, b, c, d; Nawaz et al. 2021a; Hayat et al. 2022a, b; Yaqoob et al. 2022a, b; Shabbir and Wisdom 2020; Shabbir et al. 2021; Radmehr et al. (2021), Jamil et al. (2022), Khezri et al. (2022), Samour et al. (2022), and Muhammad et al. (2022) all back up this finding. Using the PMG estimator, it is discovered that the coefficient for technological innovation is negative and statistically significant. A 0.1204% decrease in REC

results from a 1% rise in technical innovation. This suggests that technological development does not support the supply side economy of green energy. This finding is consistent with what Suki et al. (2022) discovered.

For robustness, the PMG estimator is used since it is both stable and effective at handling heterogeneity. The findings of the PMG estimator, which are presented in Table 8, concur with the results of the AMG estimator. According to the findings of the PMG estimator, a 1% increase in CO2, INN, GN, and GFCF depletes REC by 0.7438%, 0.0678%, 1.402%, and 0.1843%, respectively. On the other hand, REC rises by 0.2230% for every 1% growth in GDP. Additionally, Table 9 presents the nation-specific findings of the AMG estimator. The results show that GDP and REC are significantly positively associated in China and Brazil.

Table 7 Panel cointegration tests

Pedroni (Within-Dimension)		
	Statistic	Weighted Statistic
Panel v-Statistic	1.3961	0.2900
Panel rho-Statistic	0.0402	0.6503
Panel PP-Statistic	-3.3306***	-2.2614***
Panel ADF-Statistic	-3.3349***	-1.9030***
Pedroni (Between-Dimension)		
	Statistic	P-value
Group rho-Statistic	1.4123	0.9211
Group PP-Statistic	-2.7676***	0.0028
Group ADF-Statistic	-2.2241***	0.0131
Fisher		
No of CE(s)	Trace test	Max-Eigen test
None	218.0***	118.3***
At most 1	123.1***	75.58***
At most 2	60.95***	35.17***
At most 3	33.78***	19.16**
At most 4	24.18***	18.11**
At most 5	21.87**	21.87**
Kao	Statistic	P-value
ADF	-2.5643***	0.0052
Westerlund (2007)		
Statistic	Value	Robust P-value
Gt	-3.595**	0.028
Ga	-3.293	0.495
Pt	-6.960**	0.015
Pa	-1.562	0.753

All cointegration tests are run with intercept only. The significant level of 1%, 5%, or 10% is indicated by the symbols ***, **, and *, respectively

Conclusion and policy implications

Climate change continues to be a global issue, and energy needs will continue to rise as population growth accelerates. The problem with the climate is directly caused by the emission of greenhouse gases. Energy demand, in the meantime, supports economic expansion. As a result, the issues are now interrelated. All countries value economic development, yet the significant CO2 emissions from current energy

Table 8 Results of the AMG and PMG estimators

Variables	AMG		PMG	
	Coefficient	P-value	Coefficient	P-value
lnGDP	0.0229	0.938	0.2230***	0.012
lnCO2	-0.5004***	0.000	-0.7438***	0.000
lnINN	-0.1204**	0.035	-0.0678**	0.020
lnGN	-0.8908***	0.008	-1.4027***	0.000
lnGFCF	-0.0679*	0.085	-0.1843***	0.011

The significant level of 1%, 5%, or 10% is indicated by the symbols ***, **, and *, respectively

Table 9 Country-wise results of AMG Estimator

Country	lnGDP	lnCO2	lnINN	lnGN	lnGFCF
Brazil	0.7219***	-0.7889***	-0.2509***	-0.4955	-0.1561***
Russia	0.3425	-0.3227	-0.2164**	-1.2126***	-0.0018
India	-0.0451	-0.5300***	-0.0204	-0.1903	0.0128
China	-0.8229***	-0.6033***	-0.1240***	-0.8655***	-0.0534
South Africa	-0.1421	-0.2629	0.0101	-1.7902	-0.1437

The significant level of 1%, 5%, or 10% is indicated by the symbols ***, **, and *, respectively

production directly contribute to environmental deterioration. Therefore, to maintain the economic significance of countries, green energy continues to be the most suitable replacement for the world's energy needs. Renewable energy is climate-friendly since it reduces or eliminates CO₂ emissions. Several nations have already begun their journey towards a low-carbon economy by concentrating on RES. Given this information, the goal of the current study is to analyze the effects of economic growth, technological development, CO₂ emissions, gross fixed capital creation, and income disparity on REC.

The following policy implications could be implemented based on the study's findings to further enhance the quality of the environment in BRICS economies. To achieve a coherent decrease in carbon emissions, BRICS policymakers should prepare and implement economic expansion policies and agendas. For example, as BRICS economies' incomes grow, they will be able to increase environmental consciousness, create strict eco-friendly procedures, and implement environmentally friendly green technologies for REC. To boost renewable energy production, it is also critical to enhance technical advances across BRICS countries. Furthermore, subsidies could be provided by BRICS policymakers in order to minimize the expenses of REC, welcome business initiatives involving green energy sources, and create awareness of how to begin from the ground up to achieve the advantages of RES, such as lowering reliance on non-renewables and air pollution. In addition, in order to increase the usage of renewable energy, it is crucial to remove the economic and legal obstacles that prevent businesses and individuals from making investments in RES. Future research could also take into account the quantile-level analysis and spatial dimensions of multilateral trade and clean energy production.

Acknowledgements This paper is based on the Ph.D. dissertation of Iftikhar Muhammad. The views, information, or opinions expressed in papers are those of the authors, and the usual disclaimer applies.

Author contribution Mr Iftikhar has completed the data analysis part, Dr Rasim completed the Introduction section, Dr. Vipin completed the

Literature review section, Dr Chanchal Chawla wrote Methodology section, and interpreted the data analysis section, and Dr Carlos wrote conclusion, abstract parts, proofread of paper, and format the paper as per journal requirements.

Data availability The data is available on request from corresponding author.

Declarations

Ethical approval and consent to participate This study didn't use any kind of human participants or human data, which require any kind of approval.

Consent for publication Our study did not use any kind of individual data such as video and images.

Competing interests The authors declare no competing interests.

References

- Abbasi KR, Adedoyin FF, Abbas J, Hussain K (2021) The impact of energy depletion and renewable energy on CO₂ emissions in Thailand: fresh evidence from the novel dynamic ARDL simulation. *Renewable Energy* 180:1439–1450
- Adams S, Klobodu EKM, Apio A (2018) Renewable and non-renewable energy, regime type and economic growth. *Renewable Energy* 125:755–767
- Ahmad US, Usman M, Hussain S, Jahanger A, Abrar M (2022) Determinants of renewable energy sources in Pakistan: An overview. *Environ Sci Pollut Res*, 1–19
- Alam MM, Murad MW (2020) The impacts of economic growth, trade openness and technological progress on renewable energy use in organization for economic co-operation and development countries. *Renewable Energy* 145:382–390
- Al-Mulali U, Solarin SA, Sheau-Ting L, Ozturk I (2016) Does moving towards renewable energy cause water and land inefficiency? An empirical investigation. *Energy Policy* 93:303–314
- Amri F (2017) Intercourse across economic growth, trade and renewable energy consumption in developing and developed countries. *Renew Sustain Energy Rev* 69:527–534
- Apergis N (2015) Does renewables production affect income inequality? Evidence from an international panel of countries. *Appl Econ Lett* 22(11):865–868
- Apergis N, Payne JE (2014) Renewable energy, output, CO₂ emissions, and fossil fuel prices in Central America: Evidence from a nonlinear panel smooth transition vector error correction model. *Energy Economics* 42:226–232
- Anser MK, Usman M, Sharif M, Bashir S, Shabbir MS, Yahya Khan G, Lopez LB (2021) The dynamic impact of renewable energy

- sources on environmental economic growth: evidence from selected Asian economies. *Environ Sci Pollut Res*, 1–13
- Aslan A, Ocal O, Ozsolak B, Ozturk I (2022) Renewable energy and economic growth relationship under the oil reserve ownership: Evidence from panel VAR approach. *Renewable Energy* 188:402–410
- Arslan Z, Kausar S, Kannaiah D, Shabbir MS, Khan GY, Zamir A (2021) The mediating role of green creativity and the moderating role of green mindfulness in the relationship among clean environment, clean production, and sustainable growth. *Environ Sci Pollut Res*, 1–1
- Asongu SA, Odhiambo NM (2021) Inequality, finance and renewable energy consumption in Sub-Saharan Africa. *Renewable Energy* 165:678–688
- Bai D, Jain V, Tripathi M, Ali SA, Shabbir MS, Mohamed MA, Ramos-Meza CS (2022) Performance of biogas plant analysis and policy implications: evidence from the commercial sources. *Energy Policy* 169:113173
- Bai C, Feng C, Yan H, Yi X, Chen Z, Wei W (2020) Will income inequality influence the abatement effect of renewable energy technological innovation on carbon dioxide emissions? *J Environ Manage* 264:110482
- Balsalobre-Lorente D, Leitão NC (2020) The role of tourism, trade, renewable energy use and carbon dioxide emissions on economic growth: evidence of tourism-led growth hypothesis in EU-28. *Environ Sci Pollut Res* 27(36):45883–45896
- Banday UJ, Aneja R (2019) Renewable and non-renewable energy consumption, economic growth and carbon emission in BRICS: Evidence from bootstrap panel causality. *Intl J Energy Sector Manag*
- Belaïd F, Zrelli MH (2019) Renewable and non-renewable electricity consumption, environmental degradation and economic development: evidence from Mediterranean countries. *Energy Policy* 133:110929
- Bhattacharya M, Paramati SR, Ozturk I, Bhattacharya S (2016) The effect of renewable energy consumption on economic growth: evidence from top 38 countries. *Appl Energy* 162:733–741
- Bilgili F, Ozturk I (2015) Biomass energy and economic growth nexus in G7 countries: Evidence from dynamic panel data. *Renew Sustain Energy Rev* 49:132–138
- Bilgili F, Lorente DB, Kuşkaya S, Ünlü F, Gençoğlu P, Roshia P (2021) The role of hydropower energy in the level of CO2 emissions: an application of continuous wavelet transforms. *Renewable Energy* 178:283–294
- Bointner R (2014) Innovation in the energy sector: lessons learnt from R&D expenditures and patents in selected IEA countries. *Energy Policy* 73:733–747
- Bond S, Eberhardt M (2013) Accounting for unobserved heterogeneity in panel time series models. University of Oxford, 1–11
- Bowden N, Payne JE (2010) Sectoral analysis of the causal relationship between renewable and non-renewable energy consumption and real output in the US. *Energy Sources Part B* 5(4):400–408
- Butt MA, Ayub H, Latif B, Asif F, Shabbir MS, Raja AA (2022) Financial risks and performance of conventional and Islamic banks: do reputational risk matters?. *Journal of Islamic Accounting and Business Research*
- Castrejon-Campos O, Aye L, Hui FKP, Vaz-Serra P (2022) Economic and environmental impacts of public investment in clean energy RD&D. *Energy Policy* 168:113134
- Cao X, Kannaiah D, Ye L, Khan J, Shabbir MS, Bilal K, Tabash MI (2022) Does sustainable environmental agenda matter in the era of globalization? The relationship among financial development, energy consumption, and sustainable environmental-economic growth. *Environ Sci Pollut Res* 1–11
- Chen J, Su F, Jain V, Salman A, Tabash MI, Haddad AM, ... Shabbir MS (2022a) Does renewable energy matter to achieve sustainable development goals? The impact of renewable energy strategies on sustainable economic growth. *Front. Energy Res*, 10, 829252
- Chen C, Pinar M, Stengos T (2021) Determinants of renewable energy consumption: Importance of democratic institutions. *Renewable Energy* 179:75–83
- Chen Y, Mamon R, Spagnolo F, Spagnolo N (2022b) Renewable energy and economic growth: A Markov-switching approach. *Energy* 244:123089
- Cheon A, Urpelainen J (2012) Oil prices and energy technology innovation: an empirical analysis. *Glob Environ Chang* 22(2):407–417
- Dai Z, Sadiq M, Kannaiah D, Khan N, Shabbir MS, Bilal K, Tabash MI (2022) The dynamic impacts of Financial Investment on environmental-health and MDR-TB diseases and their influence on environmental sustainability at Chinese hospitals. *Environmental Science and Pollution Research*, 1–1
- Destek MA, Aslan A (2020) Disaggregated renewable energy consumption and environmental pollution nexus in G-7 countries. *Renewable Energy* 151:1298–1306
- Dogan E, Muhammad I (2019) Willingness to pay for renewable electricity: a contingent valuation study in Turkey. *Electr J* 32(10):106677
- Dong K, Sun R, Dong X (2018) CO2 emissions, natural gas and renewables, economic growth: assessing the evidence from China. *Sci Total Environ* 640:293–302
- Duan H, Liu Y, Wang G (2022) A novel dynamic time-delay grey model of energy prices and its application in crude oil price forecasting. *Energy* 251:123968
- Dumitrescu EI, Hurlin C (2012) Testing for Granger non-causality in heterogeneous panels. *Econ Model* 29(4):1450–1460
- Ehigiamusoe KU, Dogan E (2022) The role of interaction effect between renewable energy consumption and real income in carbon emissions: evidence from low-income countries. *Renew Sustain Energy Rev* 154:111883
- EIA, International energy outlook 2019 with projections to 2050. US energy information administration. <https://www.eia.gov/outlooks/ieo/pdf/ieo2019.pdf>. (Accessed 10 July 2022)
- Eren BM, Taspinar N, Gokmenoglu KK (2019) The impact of financial development and economic growth on renewable energy consumption: Empirical analysis of India. *Sci Total Environ* 663:189–197
- Ge M, Kannaiah D, Li J, Khan N, Shabbir MS, Bilal K, Tabash MI (2022) Does foreign private investment affect the clean industrial environment? Nexus among foreign private investment, CO2 emissions, energy consumption, trade openness, and sustainable economic growth. *Environ Sci Pollut Res*, 1–8
- Gugushvili A, Reeves A, Jarosz E (2020) How do perceived changes in inequality affect health? *Health Place* 62:102276
- Gyamfi S, Derkyi NS, Asuamah EY, Aduako IJ (2018) Renewable energy and sustainable development. In *Sustainable Hydropower in West Africa* (pp. 75–94). Academic Press
- Hayat K, Yaqub K, Aslam MA, Shabbir MS (2022a). Impact of societal and economic development on academic performance: a literature review. *iRASD Journal of Economics*, 4(1), 98–106
- Hayat K, Hafeez M, Bilal K, Shabbir MS (2022b) Interactive effects of organizational structure and team work quality on project success in project based non profit organizations. *iRASD J Manag*, 4(1), 84–103
- He ZX, Xu SC, Li QB, Zhao B (2018) Factors that influence renewable energy technological innovation in China: A dynamic panel approach. *Sustainability* 10(1):124
- IEA, World energy outlook 2019, part of world energy outlook. (Accessed 12 July 2022)
- IPCC Working Group III (2014) Climate change 2014-mitigation of climate change: synthesis report, Intergovernmental Panel on

- Climate Change Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, United Kingdom and New York, NY, USA
- Irandoost M (2016) The renewable energy-growth nexus with carbon emissions and technological innovation: Evidence from the Nordic countries. *Ecol Ind* 69:118–125
- Irandoost M (2018) Innovations and renewables in the Nordic countries: a panel causality approach. *Technol Soc* 54:87–92
- Jamil K, Liu D, Gul RF, Hussain Z, Mohsin M, Qin G, Khan FU (2022) Do remittance and renewable energy affect CO2 emissions? An empirical evidence from selected G-20 countries. *Energy & Environment* 33(5):916–932
- Jebli MB, Youssef SB (2017) The role of renewable energy and agriculture in reducing CO2 emissions: evidence for North Africa countries. *Ecol Ind* 74:295–301
- Ji G, Cheng X, Kannaiah D, Shabbir MS (2022) Does the global migration matter? The impact of top ten cities migration on native nationals income and employment levels. *International Migration*
- Jun W, Mughal N, Zhao J, Shabbir MS, Niedbala G, Jain V, Anwar A (2021) Does globalization matter for environmental degradation? Nexus among energy consumption, economic growth, and carbon dioxide emission. *Energy Policy* 153:112230
- Kahia M, Aïssa MSB, Lanouar C (2017) Renewable and non-renewable energy use-economic growth nexus: The case of MENA Net Oil Importing Countries. *Renew Sustain Energy Rev* 71:127–140
- Khan A, Chenggang Y, Hussain J, Kui Z (2021a) Impact of technological innovation, financial development and foreign direct investment on renewable energy, non-renewable energy and the environment in belt & Road Initiative countries. *Renewable Energy* 171:479–491
- Khan MB, Saleem H, Shabbir MS, Huobao X (2021b) The effects of globalization, energy consumption and economic growth on carbon dioxide emissions in South Asian countries. *Energy Environ*, 0958305X20986896
- Khan K, Su CW, Rehman AU, Ullah R (2022) Is technological innovation a driver of renewable energy? *Technol Soc* 70:102044
- Khezri M, Heshmati A, Khodaei M (2022) Environmental implications of economic complexity and its role in determining how renewable energies affect CO2 emissions. *Appl Energy* 306:117948
- Khobai H (2021) A Review of the Nexus between Energy consumption and Economic growth in the Brics countries. 670216917
- Khuong NV, Shabbir MS, Sial MS, Khanh THT (2021) Does informal economy impede economic growth? Evidence from an emerging economy. *J Sustain Finance Invest* 11(2):103–122
- Li Q, Cherian J, Shabbir MS, Sial MS, Li J, Mester I, Badulescu A (2021) Exploring the Relationship between Renewable Energy Sources and Economic Growth. The Case of SAARC Countries *Energies* 14(3):520
- Li S, Shao Q (2021) Exploring the determinants of renewable energy innovation considering the institutional factors: a negative binomial analysis. *Technol Soc* 67:101680
- Lin B, Omoju OE (2017) Focusing on the right targets: Economic factors driving non-hydro renewable energy transition. *Renewable Energy* 113:52–63
- Lin B, Zhu J (2019) Determinants of renewable energy technological innovation in China under CO2 emissions constraint. *J Environ Manage* 247:662–671
- Liu Z, Lan J, Chien F, Sadiq M, Nawaz MA (2022a) Role of tourism development in environmental degradation: a step towards emission reduction. *J Environ Manage* 303:114078
- Liu Y, Saleem S, Shabbir R, Shabbir MS, Irshad A, Khan S (2021) The relationship between corporate social responsibility and financial performance: a moderate role of fintech technology. *Environ Sci Pollut Res*, 1–14
- Liu J, Jain V, Sharma P, Ali SA, Shabbir MS, Ramos-Meza CS (2022b) The role of Sustainable Development Goals to eradicate the multidimensional energy poverty and improve social Wellbeing's. *Energ Strat Rev* 42:100885
- Liu Y, Sharma P, Jain V, Shukla A, Shabbir MS, Tabash MI, Chawla C (2022c) The relationship among oil prices volatility, inflation rate, and sustainable economic growth: Evidence from top oil importer and exporter countries. *Resour Policy* 77:102674
- Liu Y, Cao D, Cao X, Jain V, Chawla C, Shabbir MS, Ramos-Meza CS (2022d) The effects of MDR-TB treatment regimens through socioeconomic and spatial characteristics on environmental-health outcomes: evidence from Chinese Hospitals. *Energy Environ* 0958305X221079425
- Mac Domhnaill C, Ryan L (2020) Towards renewable electricity in Europe: revisiting the determinants of renewable electricity in the European Union. *Renewable Energy* 154:955–965
- Mahalik MK, Mallick H, Padhan H (2021) Do educational levels influence the environmental quality? The role of renewable and non-renewable energy demand in selected BRICS countries with a new policy perspective. *Renewable Energy* 164:419–432
- McGee JA, Greiner PT (2019) Renewable energy injustice: the socio-environmental implications of renewable energy consumption. *Energy Res Soc Sci* 56:101214
- Menegaki AN (2011) Growth and renewable energy in Europe: a random effect model with evidence for neutrality hypothesis. *Energy Economics* 33(2):257–263
- Mughal N, Arif A, Jain V, Chupradit S, Shabbir MS, Ramos-Meza CS, Zhanbayev R (2022) The role of technological innovation in environmental pollution, energy consumption and sustainable economic growth: Evidence from South Asian economies. *Energ Strat Rev* 39:100745
- Muhammad I, Shabbir MS, Saleem S, Bilal K, Ulucak R (2021) Nexus between willingness to pay for renewable energy sources: Evidence from Turkey. *Environ Sci Pollut Res* 28(3):2972–2986
- Muhammad I, Ozcan R, Jain V, Sharma P, Shabbir MS (2022) Does environmental sustainability affect the renewable energy consumption? Nexus among trade openness, CO2 emissions, income inequality, renewable energy, and economic growth in OECD countries. *Environ Sci Pollut Res*, 1–11
- Namahoro JP, Wu Q, Zhou N, Xue S (2021) Impact of energy intensity, renewable energy, and economic growth on CO2 emissions: evidence from Africa across regions and income levels. *Renew Sustain Energy Rev* 147:111233
- Nawab, T., Raza, S., Shabbir, M. S., Yahya Khan, G., & Bashir, S. (2022). Multidimensional poverty index across districts in Punjab, Pakistan: estimation and rationale to consolidate with SDGs. *Environment, Development and Sustainability*, 1–25
- Nawaz S, Shabbir MS, Shaheen K & Koser M (2021a) The role of human rights and obligations toward cross gender empowerment under the domain of Islamic Laws. *iRASD J Manag* 3(3):208–217
- Nawaz S, Koser M, Boota A, Shabbir MS (2021b) The effects of cultural limitations, constitution, feminism, sexual orientation status among the women in Pakistani families. *Pakistan J Human Soc Sci* 9(3):526–534
- New Development Bank (NDB) (2018) Developing solutions for a sustainable future annual report 2017. https://www.ndb.int/wpcontent/uploads/2018/07/NDB_AR2017.pdf.
- Nguyen KH, Kakinaka M (2019) Renewable energy consumption, carbon emissions, and development stages: Some evidence from panel cointegration analysis. *Renewable Energy* 132:1049–1057
- Ocal O, Aslan A (2013) Renewable energy consumption–economic growth nexus in Turkey. *Renew Sustain Energy Rev* 28:494–499
- Olabi AG, Abdelkareem MA, Wilberforce T, Sayed ET (2021) Application of graphene in energy storage device—A review. *Renew Sustain Energy Rev* 135:110026
- Omri A, Nguyen DK (2014) On the determinants of renewable energy consumption: International evidence. *Energy* 72:554–560

- Pao HT, Tsai CM (2010) CO₂ emissions, energy consumption and economic growth in BRIC countries. *Energy Policy* 38(12):7850–7860
- Radmehr R, Henneberry SR, Shayanmehr S (2021) Renewable energy consumption, CO₂ emissions, and economic growth nexus: a simultaneity spatial modeling analysis of EU countries. *Struct Chang Econ Dyn* 57:13–27
- Ramos Meza CS, Bashir S, Jain V, Aziz S, Raza Shah SA, Shabbir MS & Agustin DWI (2021) The Economic Consequences of the Loan Guarantees and Firm's Performance: A Moderate Role of Corporate Social Responsibility. *Glob Bus Rev* 09721509211039674
- REN21 (2017) Renewables 2017 Global status report, Paris. <https://www.ren21.net/gsr-4792017/>
- REN21, Renewables (2020) Global status report (GSR) Paris: REN21 secretariate. https://www.ren21.net/wp-content/uploads/2019/05/gsr_2020_full_report_en.pdf. (Accessed 3 July 2022)
- Saboori B, Gholipour HF, Rasoulinezhad E, Ranjbar O (2022) Renewable energy sources and unemployment rate: Evidence from the US states. *Energy Policy* 168:113155
- Sadiq M, Kannaiah D, Yahya Khan G, Shabbir MS, Bilal K & Zamir A (2022) Does sustainable environmental agenda matter? The role of globalization toward energy consumption, economic growth, and carbon dioxide emissions in South Asian countries. *Environ Dev Sustain* 1–20
- Sadorsky P (2009) Renewable energy consumption and income in emerging economies. *Energy Policy* 37(10):4021–4028
- Sadorsky P (2012) Energy consumption, output and trade in South America. *Energy Economics* 34(2):476–488
- Sager L (2019) Income inequality and carbon consumption: Evidence from Environmental Engel curves. *Energy Economics* 84:104507
- Saleem H, Khan MB & Shabbir MS (2019) The role of financial development, energy demand, and technological change in environmental sustainability agenda: evidence from selected Asian countries. *Environ Sci Pollut Res* 1–15
- Saleem H, Khan MB, Shabbir MS, Khan GY & Usman M (2022) Nexus between non-renewable energy production, CO₂ emissions, and healthcare spending in OECD economies. *Environ Sci Pollut Res* 1–12
- Salim RA, Rafiq S (2012) Why do some emerging economies proactively accelerate the adoption of renewable energy? *Energy Economics* 34(4):1051–1057
- Salvarli MS & Salvarli H (2020) For sustainable development: Future trends in renewable energy and enabling technologies. In *Renewable Energy-Resources, Challenges and Applications*. IntechOpen
- Samour A, Baskaya MM, Tursoy T (2022) The impact of financial development and FDI on renewable energy in the UAE: a path towards sustainable development. *Sustainability* 14(3):1208
- ET Sayed MA Abdelkareem A Bahaa T Eisa H Alawadhi S Al-Asheh ... AG Olabi 2021 Synthesis and performance evaluation of various metal chalcogenides as active anodes for direct urea fuel cells *Renew Sustain Energy Rev* 150 111470
- Shabbir MS, Aslam E, Irshad A et al (2020) Nexus between corporate social responsibility and financial and non-financial sectors' performance: a non-linear and disaggregated approach. *Environ Sci Pollut Res*. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11356-020-09972-x>
- Shabbir MS (2020) Attributes Ensuring Positive Consumer Evaluation in Brand Extension of Pakistan. *Intl J Asian Business Inform Manag (IJABIM)* 11(4):71–84
- Shabbir MS, Zeb A (2020) Nexus and Perception of Customers Toward Conventional Banking Systems: Does the Islamic Banking System Exist as a Competitor? *Intl J Asian Business Inform Manag (IJABIM)* 11(4):54–70
- Shabbir MS (2022) Regulatory and Supervisory Regime of Islamic Banking System in Nigeria: Challenges, Constraints and Way Forward. *J Islamic Banking & Finance*, 39(2)
- Shabbir MS, Wisdom O (2020) The relationship between corporate social responsibility, environmental investments and financial performance: evidence from manufacturing companies. *Environ Sci Pollut Res* 1–12. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11356-020-10217-0>
- Shabbir MS, Bashir M, Abbasi HM, Yahya G, Abbasi BA (2021) Effect of domestic and foreign private investment on economic growth of Pakistan. *Transnational Corporations Review* 13(4):437–449
- Shahbaz M, Rizvi SKA, Dong K, Vo XV (2022) Fiscal decentralization as new determinant of renewable energy demand in China: The role of income inequality and urbanization. *Renewable Energy* 187:68–80
- Sharma R, Rajpurohit SS (2022) Nexus between income inequality and consumption of renewable energy in India: a nonlinear examination. *Econ Chang Restruct* 1–22
- Sinha A, Shahbaz M (2018) Estimation of environmental Kuznets curve for CO₂ emission: role of renewable energy generation in India. *Renewable Energy* 119:703–711
- Solt F (2021) Measuring income inequality across countries and over time: The standardized world income inequality database. SWIID Version 8.1, May 2019
- Su CW, Pang LD, Tao R, Shao X, Umar M (2022) Renewable energy and technological innovation: Which one is the winner in promoting net-zero emissions? *Technol Forecast Soc Chang* 182:121798
- Suki NM, Suki NM, Sharif A, Afshan S, Jermsittiparsert K (2022) The role of technology innovation and renewable energy in reducing environmental degradation in Malaysia: A step towards sustainable environment. *Renewable Energy* 182:245–253
- Tan Y, Uprasen U (2021) Carbon neutrality potential of the ASEAN-5 countries: Implications from asymmetric effects of income inequality on renewable energy consumption. *J Environ Manage* 299:113635
- Tang CF, Tan EC (2013) Exploring the nexus of electricity consumption, economic growth, energy prices and technology innovation in Malaysia. *Appl Energy* 104:297–305
- Tiwari AK (2011) A structural VAR analysis of renewable energy consumption, real GDP and CO₂ emissions: evidence from India. *Economics Bulletin* 31(2):1793–1806
- Topcu M, Tugcu CT (2020) The impact of renewable energy consumption on income inequality: Evidence from developed countries. *Renewable Energy* 151:1134–1140
- Ullah A, Zhang Q, Raza SA, Ali S (2021) Renewable energy: Is it a global challenge or opportunity? Focusing on different income level countries through Panel Smooth Transition Regression Model. *Renewable Energy* 177:689–699
- United Nations, World population prospects: the 2021 version. <https://population.un.org/wpp/Download/Standard/Population/>
- Usman M, Radulescu M (2022) Examining the role of nuclear and renewable energy in reducing carbon footprint: does the role of technological innovation really create some difference? *Sci Total Environ* 841:156662
- Uzar U (2020) Is income inequality a driver for renewable energy consumption? *J Clean Prod* 255:120287
- Uzar U, Eyuboglu K (2019) The nexus between income inequality and CO₂ emissions in Turkey. *J Clean Prod* 227:149–157
- Wang J, Dong X, Dong K (2021) How renewable energy reduces CO₂ emissions? Decoupling and decomposition analysis for 25 countries along the Belt and Road. *Appl Econ* 53(40):4597–4613
- Wang G, Sadiq M, Bashir T, Jain V, Ali SA, Shabbir MS (2022a) The dynamic association between different strategies of renewable energy sources and sustainable economic growth under SDGs. *Energy Strat Rev* 42:100886
- Wang Q, Wang L, Li R (2022b) Renewable energy and economic growth revisited: The dual roles of resource dependence and anticorruption regulation. *J Clean Prod* 337:130514
- Wen Y, Shabbir MS, Haseeb M, Kamal M, Anwar A, Khan MF & Malik S (2022) The dynamic effect of information and

- communication technology and renewable energy on CO₂ emission: Fresh evidence from panel quantile regression. *Front Environ Sci* 11:23
- Westerlund J (2007) Testing for error correction in panel data. *Oxford Bull Econ Stat* 69(6):709–748
- World Bank, 2017. Annual Report 2017 End Extreme Poverty • Boost Shared Prosperity
- WDI, World Development Indicators, World Bank, (2021). <https://databank.worldbank.org/source/world-development-indicators>
- Xie F, Liu Y, Guan F, Wang N (2020) How to coordinate the relationship between renewable energy consumption and green economic development: from the perspective of technological advancement. *Environ Sci Eur* 32(1):1–15
- Yasmeen H, Tan Q, Zameer H, Vo XV, Shahbaz M (2021) Discovering the relationship between natural resources, energy consumption, gross capital formation with economic growth: can lower financial openness change the curse into blessing. *Resour Policy* 71:102013
- Yaqoob N, Ali SA, Kannaiah D, Khan N, Shabbir MS, Bilal K, Tabash MI (2022a) The effects of Agriculture Productivity, Land Intensification, on Sustainable Economic Growth: A panel analysis from Bangladesh, India, and Pakistan Economies. *Environ Sci Pollut Res*, 1–9
- Yaqoob N, Jain V, Atiq Z, Sharma P, Ramos-Meza CS, Shabbir MS & Tabash MI (2022b) The relationship between staple food crops consumption and its impact on total factor productivity: does green economy matter? *Environ Sci Pollut Res* 1–10
- Yikun Z, Gul A, Saleem S, Shabbir MS, Bilal K, Abbasi HM (2021) The relationship between renewable energy sources and sustainable economic growth: evidence from SAARC countries. *Environ Sci Pollut Res*, 1–10
- Yu S, Sial MS, Shabbir MS, Moiz M, Wan P, & Cherian J (2020) Does higher population matter for labour market? Evidence from rapid migration in Canada. *Economic Research-Ekonomska Istraživanja*, 1–18
- Zhao J, Patwary AK, Qayyum A, Alharthi M, Bashir F, Mohsin M, ... Abbas Q (2022) The determinants of renewable energy sources for the fueling of green and sustainable economy. *Energy*, 238, 122029

Publisher's note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Springer Nature or its licensor (e.g. a society or other partner) holds exclusive rights to this article under a publishing agreement with the author(s) or other rightsholder(s); author self-archiving of the accepted manuscript version of this article is solely governed by the terms of such publishing agreement and applicable law.