



Exploring the Boundaries of Confidentiality in Counseling: Balancing Client Privacy and the Duty to Warn

Ali Cissey USMAN^{1*}, Sefa BULUT²

¹*Department of Individual, Family & Community Education, University of New Mexico, USA.*

E-mail: aliseesay@gmail.com ORCID: 0000-0002-4578-6655

²*Department of Counseling Psychology, Ibn Haldun University, Istanbul, Türkiye.*

E-mail: sefabulut22@gmail.com ORCID: 0000-0002-2622-4390.

* *Corresponding Author*

Abstract

Confidentiality represents a foundational ethical principle in counseling, essential for fostering trust and facilitating effective therapeutic relationships. However, its application is inherently complex and context-dependent, particularly when counselors are confronted with situations involving risk of harm, abuse, or legal mandates requiring disclosure. This commentary explores the ethical, legal, and professional parameters of confidentiality, with a specific focus on the tension between maintaining client privacy and fulfilling the duty to warn or protect.

Through the presentation of a clinical case involving an adolescent experiencing suicidal ideation, the paper examines the use of ethical decision-making models and professional consultation as tools to guide practice. The analysis highlights the importance of risk assessment, cultural sensitivity, and ongoing communication regarding confidentiality boundaries.

Ultimately, this commentary underscores the need for a balanced, context-sensitive approach that integrates ethical principles, legal obligations, and clinical judgment to safeguard both client welfare and therapeutic integrity.

Keywords: Clinical decision-making; Confidentiality; Counseling Ethics; Disclosure; Duty to Warn; Minors.

Cite this paper as: Usman AC, Bulut S. Exploring the Boundaries of Confidentiality in Counseling: Balancing Client Privacy and the Duty to Warn. *Adv Med Psychol Public Health*. 2026;3(3):273–277. doi:10.5281/zenodo.19322394.

Received: 10 March 2025; Revised: 20 October 2025; Accepted: 15 January 2026; Published Online: 29 March 2026

INTRODUCTION

Confidentiality is a cornerstone of ethical counseling practice, underpinning the development of trust and the effectiveness of the therapeutic alliance. The assurance that personal information will be protected enables clients to engage openly in the counseling process, thereby promoting psychological well-being and personal growth [1–3].

However, confidentiality is not absolute. Counselors are required to navigate a complex interplay of ethical standards, legal mandates, and professional responsibilities when determining whether and when confidentiality may be ethically or legally breached [4]. Professional guidelines, such as those established by the American Counseling Association (ACA) and the American School Counselor Association (ASCA), emphasize that confidentiality may be limited in situations involving foreseeable harm, abuse, or legal requirements for disclosure [5,6].

These challenges are particularly pronounced in counseling contexts involving minors, where competing rights and responsibilities—such as the adolescent’s right to privacy and the parents’ duty to protect—create ethical tensions. Previous research has demonstrated variability in how counselors interpret and apply confidentiality standards, especially in school settings, where decisions to disclose information often depend on perceived risk severity and contextual factors [7–9].

In this context, confidentiality may be conceptualized as a dynamic and negotiated construct rather than a fixed principle. Cultural considerations further complicate this landscape, as perceptions of privacy, autonomy, and disclosure vary across sociocultural frameworks [10].

This commentary aims to explore the ethical boundaries of confidentiality through the analysis of a clinical scenario, highlighting the role of ethical decision-making models and professional consultation in balancing client confidentiality with the duty to warn.

DISCUSSION

Case Scenario

Kofi, a licensed counselor working in a community counseling center, provides services primarily to clients of African descent. Kwame, a 16-year-old adolescent, was referred for counseling by his parents due to academic and emotional difficulties.

During initial sessions, Kwame reported persistent depressive symptoms related to school stress and disclosed recurring suicidal ideation over multiple sessions. He further described a potential plan to end his life if his circumstances did not improve within a specified timeframe.

The Confidentiality Dilemma

In this scenario, the counselor faces a fundamental ethical dilemma: whether to maintain confidentiality and preserve the therapeutic alliance or to breach confidentiality in order to protect the client from potential harm. While maintaining confidentiality is essential for sustaining trust, the presence of ongoing suicidal ideation introduces a significant risk that may justify disclosure. Ethical guidelines consistently emphasize that the duty to protect may override confidentiality when there is a clear and foreseeable risk of harm [5].

This dilemma illustrates the need for a structured and systematic approach to ethical decision-making.

Ethical Decision-Making Framework

Fisher’s model for protecting confidentiality rights provides a structured framework for navigating such dilemmas [11].

1. Preparation

Counselors must possess a comprehensive understanding of ethical principles, legal obligations, and institutional policies governing confidentiality. This includes awareness of circumstances under which confidentiality may be limited.

2. Informed Consent

At the outset of counseling, clients should be clearly informed about the boundaries and limitations of confidentiality, including situations that may necessitate disclosure.

3. Ongoing Communication

Counselors should revisit discussions about confidentiality throughout the therapeutic process, particularly when risk factors emerge.

4. Ethical Response to Legal Obligations

In cases requiring disclosure, counselors should inform clients whenever possible and limit the information disclosed to what is strictly necessary.

5. Risk Assessment

A thorough assessment of suicidal ideation—including intent, plan, means, and protective factors—is essential to guide decision-making.

6. Avoidance of Unnecessary Breaches

Counselors should ensure that any breach of confidentiality is justified, proportionate, and aligned with ethical and legal standards.

Role of Consultation

Consultation and supervision are critical components of ethical practice. Engaging with experienced professionals allows counselors to:

- gain alternative perspectives,
- reduce personal bias,
- ensure alignment with ethical standards.

Key reflective questions include:

- What level of risk justifies disclosure?
- What are the potential consequences of action versus inaction?
- How can the client's trust be preserved while ensuring safety?

Cultural and Clinical Considerations

Confidentiality is interpreted differently across cultural contexts. Counselors must demonstrate cultural competence by recognizing how clients perceive privacy, disclosure, and authority.

At the same time, personal biases—particularly regarding sensitive issues such as suicide—may influence clinical judgment. Without adequate self-awareness, these biases can lead to either overestimation or underestimation of risk. Therefore, culturally informed practice requires balancing respect for client values with adherence to ethical standards and risk management principles.

Implications for Practice

This case highlights several key considerations for counseling practice:

- Confidentiality must be understood as conditional rather than absolute
- Risk assessment should be continuous and documented
- Ethical decision-making models provide essential guidance
- Consultation enhances decision quality and accountability

- Cultural competence is integral to ethical practice

Limitations

This commentary is based on a hypothetical case and does not include empirical validation. The proposed reflections may not be generalizable across all legal jurisdictions or cultural contexts. Future research should explore real-world applications of ethical decision-making frameworks in diverse counseling settings.

CONCLUSION

Balancing confidentiality and the duty to warn represents one of the most complex ethical challenges in counseling practice. While confidentiality remains a foundational principle, it must be interpreted within a broader framework that prioritizes client safety and professional responsibility.

Adopting structured decision-making processes, engaging in consultation, and maintaining cultural sensitivity are essential for navigating these dilemmas effectively. Ultimately, ethical counseling practice requires a dynamic integration of clinical judgment, ethical principles, and contextual awareness.

Authors Contribution: The authors contributed to all aspects of the manuscript, including conceptualization, writing—original draft preparation, and writing—review and editing. The authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Acknowledgments: None

Conflicts of Interest: The author declares no conflict of interest.

Disclaimer/Publisher's Note: The Publisher remains neutral regarding jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations. Additionally, the Publisher is not responsible for the accuracy, completeness, or validity of the content of scientific articles published herein. This statement exempts the Publisher from any responsibility regarding the content of scientific articles, which is solely the responsibility of the authors and peer reviewers.

References

1. Doshi P, Robak R, Griffin P, Ward A. Confidentiality in counselor experiential training groups: an exploratory study. *J Couns Res Pract.* 2019;5(1):29–48.
2. Moyer M, Crews C. *Applied ethics and decision making in mental health.* Thousand Oaks (CA): Sage Publications; 2016.
3. American Counseling Association. *ACA code of ethics.* Alexandria (VA): ACA; 2014.
4. Ford GG. *Ethical reasoning in mental health.* Thousand Oaks (CA): Sage Publications; 2006.
5. American Counseling Association. *ACA code of ethics.* <https://www.counseling.org>. Accessed on 20 March 2026.
6. American School Counselor Association. *ASCA ethical standards for school counselors.* <https://www.schoolcounselor.org>. Accessed on 20 March 2026.
7. Pojman LP, Fieser J. *Ethics: discovering right and wrong.* 7th ed. Boston (MA): Wadsworth; 2012.
8. Welfel ER. *Ethics in counseling and psychotherapy.* 6th ed. Boston (MA): Cengage Learning; 2015.
9. Moyer M, Sullivan J. Student risk-taking behaviors: when do school counselors break confidentiality? *Prof Sch Couns.* 2008;11(2):107–114.
10. Remley TP, Herlihy B. *Ethical, legal, and professional issues in counseling.* 6th ed. Boston (MA): Pearson; 2020.
11. Glosoff HL. Privacy and confidentiality in school counseling. <https://www.researchgate.net/publication/234700799>. Accessed on 20 March 2026.
12. Duncan RE, Williams BJ, Knowles A. Adolescents, risk behaviour and confidentiality: when would Australian psychologists breach confidentiality to disclose information to parents? *Aust Psychol.* 2013;48(6):408–419.

13. Sori CF, Hecker LL. Ethical and legal considerations when counselling children and families. *Aust N Z J Fam Ther.* 2015;36(4):450–464.
14. Lambie GW, Ieva KP, Mullen PR, Hayes BG. Ego development, ethical decision-making, and legal and ethical knowledge in school counselors. *J Adult Dev.* 2011;18(1):50–59.
15. Fisher MA. Protecting confidentiality rights: the need for an ethical practice model. *Am Psychol.* 2008;63(1):1–13.
16. Mignone T, Klostermann K, Mahadeo M, Papagni E, Jankie J. Confidentiality and family therapy: cultural considerations. <https://www.arcjournals.org>. Accessed on 20 March 2026.



Copyright: © 2026 by the author. Submitted for possible open-access publication under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>).